

# THE HANG SENG UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

## Assessment Rubric (University-wide)

*Submitted to the HKCAAVQ on 24 October 2013, revised on 30 August 2018*

Skills and Learning Outcomes	Grade A (Outstanding)	Grade B (Good)	Grade C (Satisfactory)	Grade D (Marginal)	Grade F (Unsatisfactory)
<p><b>Knowledge &amp; Intellectual Skills</b> (e.g. analyze, reformat and evaluate skills)</p>	<p>Students have <u>very good</u> achievement of the module objectives.</p> <p>They are able to generate <u>creative and well-structured</u> ideas through the <u>critical</u> analysis of information and concepts.</p> <p>They are able to <u>identify and critically analyse</u> routine and specific professional problems and issues, and formulate <u>solid</u> evidence-based responses and draw <u>sound</u> conclusions.</p> <p>Arguments are strong, coherent and logical. They show evidence of extending beyond what is taught.</p>	<p>Students have <u>good</u> achievement of the module objectives.</p> <p>They are able to generate <u>well-structured</u> ideas through the <u>critical</u> analysis of information and concepts.</p> <p>They are able to <u>identify and analyse</u> routine and specific professional problems and issues, and formulate <u>reasonable</u> evidence-based responses and draw <u>substantive</u> conclusions.</p> <p>Arguments are coherent and logical. They show some evidence of extending beyond what is taught.</p>	<p>Students have <u>satisfactory</u> achievement of the module objectives.</p> <p>They are able to generate <u>general</u> ideas through the analysis of information and concepts.</p> <p>They are able to <u>identify and analyse</u> routine problems and issues, and present a logical explanation of findings and draw general conclusions.</p> <p>Arguments are coherent and logical.</p>	<p>Students have <u>marginal</u> achievement of the module objectives.</p> <p>They are able to generate <u>some</u> ideas through the analysis of information and concepts.</p> <p>They are <u>able</u> to identify routine problems and issues.</p>	<p>Students <u>fail to</u> show mastery of the module objectives.</p> <p>They are <u>not able</u> to provide a summary or analysis of the information and concepts.</p> <p>They present <u>little to no clarity</u> in identifying problems and formulating conclusions.</p>

Skills and Learning Outcomes	Grade A (Outstanding)	Grade B (Good)	Grade C (Satisfactory)	Grade D (Marginal)	Grade F (Unsatisfactory)
<p><b>Processes</b> (e.g. diagnostic and creative skills)</p>	<p>Students demonstrate a <u>thorough</u> understanding and interpretation of the topics and underlying theories.</p> <p>They show good <u>mastery</u> of the theory to develop <u>strong</u> arguments which are backed up with examples or discussion.</p> <p>They are able to utilize <u>diagnostic and creative</u> skills in a range of technical, professional or management functions.</p>	<p>Students demonstrate a <u>good</u> understanding and interpretation of the topics and underlying theories.</p> <p>They show good <u>use</u> of the theory to develop <u>appropriate and adequate</u> arguments which are backed up with examples or discussion.</p> <p>They are able to utilize <u>diagnostic</u> and skills in a range of technical, professional or management functions.</p>	<p>Students demonstrate a <u>reasonable</u> understanding of the topics and underlying theories.</p> <p>They show good <u>use</u> of the theory to develop <u>general and acceptable</u> arguments which are backed up with relevant examples or discussion.</p> <p>They are able to utilize <u>general</u> skills in a range of technical, professional or management functions.</p>	<p>Students demonstrate a <u>sufficient</u> understanding of the topics and underlying theories.</p> <p>They show understanding of the theory to develop some general arguments without relevant examples or discussion.</p>	<p>Students demonstrate <u>inadequate</u> understanding of the topics and underlying theories.</p> <p>There is <u>little or no</u> argument being brought up.</p>
<p><b>Application, Autonomy &amp; Accountability</b> (e.g. planning, design, and technical skills, and involving some management functions/ responsibility and accountability)</p>	<p>They show very good application of the knowledge/theories learned.</p> <p>They show some evidence of extending beyond what is taught.</p> <p>They show competency in dealing with ethical issues.</p>	<p>They can apply the knowledge/theories learned to practical situations reasonably well.</p> <p>They accept responsibility and accountability within a range of parameters for determining and achieving personal and/or group outcomes.</p> <p>They can handle ethical issues with little guidance.</p>	<p>They show adequate competency in the application of knowledge/theories learned.</p> <p>They accept responsibility and accountability with specific parameters for achieving personal and/or group outcomes.</p> <p>They can handle ethical issues with sufficient guidance.</p>	<p>They demonstrate some ability to apply the knowledge gained to practical situations.</p> <p>They show limited accountability in determining and achieving personal and/or group outcomes.</p> <p>They can deal with ethical issues under guidance.</p>	<p>They fail to apply or made radical mistakes in the application of knowledge/theories learned.</p> <p>They are unaware of the responsibility and accountability in achieving outcomes.</p> <p>They show negligence of ethical issues.</p>

Skills and Learning Outcomes	Grade A (Outstanding)	Grade B (Good)	Grade C (Satisfactory)	Grade D (Marginal)	Grade F (Unsatisfactory)
<p><b>Communication, IT and Numeracy</b> (e.g. routine skills and some advanced and specialized skills in support of established practices in a subject/discipline/ data numerical data presentation, IT application.)</p>	<p>They make reference to and demonstrate good use of the literature.</p> <p>They have <u>good use of language and (if relevant) visuals.</u></p> <p>They demonstrate excellent presentation skills.</p> <p>They show high competency in IT application, knowledge and skills.</p> <p>They show excellent skills in data handling and analysis.</p>	<p>They show familiarity with the literature and organize the materials well.</p> <p>They have <u>good use of language and (if relevant) visuals.</u></p> <p>They show competency in giving clear and structured presentations.</p> <p>They demonstrate advanced IT application skills.</p> <p>They show high competency in data handling and analysis.</p>	<p>The presentation of ideas is logical. They show evidence of applying theory to develop arguments that are reasonably well organized.</p> <p>They <u>communicate the main ideas well.</u> There is evidence of use of the literature.</p> <p>They have satisfactory use of language and (if relevant) visuals.</p> <p>They demonstrate reasonably good IT application skills.</p> <p>They are competent in data handling and analysis.</p>	<p>The logic and organization are just acceptable.</p> <p>There is some familiarity with the literature.</p> <p>The language used is <u>comprehensible and (if relevant) the visuals are adequate.</u></p> <p>They show basic IT application skills.</p> <p>They demonstrate limited skills in data handling and analysis.</p>	<p>The materials are poorly organized.</p> <p>There is little or no evidence of familiarity with the literature.</p> <p>The <u>language used may not always be comprehensible and the visuals (if relevant) may be flawed.</u></p> <p>They show limited application of IT skill.</p> <p>They failed or made radical mistakes in data handling and analysis.</p>